30th January 1936]

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI :—" The allowances have been granted, it is presumed, before the appointment of Commissioners and in some cases the chairmen are not granted any allowance at all."

Maximum pay and allowances admissible to a Municipal Commissioner deputed from other services.

* 604 *Q.*—Mr. K. A. NACHIYAPPA GOUNDER: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what the maximum pay and allowances on an aggregate to be paid to any municipal commissioner is;

(*b*) whether the allowances include the pensionary contribution and leave allowances paid by a municipality on behalf of Commissioner whose services are lent to the municipality; and

(*c*) whether such a question arose in any municipality; if so, from where and what orders have been passed thereon and whether a copy of it will be placed on the table?

A.—(*a*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to clause (*a*) of sub-section (6) of section 12-C of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Yes; in Coimbatore. Paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 4966, L. & M., dated the 2nd December 1935, passed in that case, is placed on the table.[a]

Mr. K. A. NACHIYAPPA GOUNDER :—" May I know, Sir, whether it was not thought at the time of passing the Act that the maximum expenditure to be incurred by the municipality should include everything? "

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI :—" The question has been examined and Government have ruled that contributions and leave allowances are not included."

Mr. V. M. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—" May I know, Sir, whether Government are aware that in the same municipality municipal engineers and health officers draw a larger allowance? "

The Hon. the RAJA OF BOBBILI :—" I am aware there are such cases. I feel that in such cases the municipality has been too generous."

Alleged disobedience of Government Orders on the part of the Commissioner, Narasaraopet Municipality.

* 605 *Q.*—Mr. G. SIMHACHALAM PANTULU: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Municipal Commissioner, Narasaraopet Municipality, Guntur district, refused to give effect to the orders passed by the Government in their G.O. Ms. No. 3892, L. & M., dated 24th September 1935; and

[a] Printed as Appendix IV on pages 226-227 infra.

(b) what action the Government propose to take against the Commissioner for disobedience and dereliction of duty?

A.—(a) No.

(b) The question does not arise.

Communal representation in the services of local boards and municipalities.

* 606 Q.—Mr. Mahoob Ali Baig: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether rules have been issued under the amended provisions of the District Municipalities Act and Local Boards Act in regard to communal representation in services in municipalities and district boards;

(b) if the answer to clause (a) is in the affirmative, what the dates of issue of the rules were; and

(c) whether any complaints have been received by the Government that the Presidents and the Commissioners have not been giving effect to the rules under some pretext or other?

A.—(a) The rules relating to representation of communities in services under district boards have been issued. Similar rules for municipal councils will be issued after the designations and scales of pay of municipal establishments are standardized.

(b) The rules relating to district boards were published in Part I-A of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 2nd July 1935, and they came into force from 15th July 1935.

(c) No.

Representation of Muslims in the cadre of Municipal Commissioners and Panchayat Officers.

* 607 Q.—Mr. Mahoob Ali Baig: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) the number of Municipal Commissioners and Panchayat Officers appointed till now;

(b) the number of Muslims appointed to the said posts; and

(c) whether the proportion of Muslim appointees is in accordance with the communal Government Order; if not, why not?

A.—(a) & (b) Out of a total number of 103 persons appointed as Commissioners and 34 as District Panchayat Officers up to 3rd January 1936, 8 of the former and 2 of the latter were Muhammadans.

(c) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to clause (c) of his question No. 75 answered on the 3rd August 1934 and to the answers to the supplementary questions on his question No. 263 delivered on the 24th October 1934.